

Lecture 4: $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminalizations & deformations.

1) $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminalizations

2) Deformations

We'll discuss how to construct filtered Poisson deformations & quantizations of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ where X is affine w. symplectic singularities

1.1) $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial & terminal varieties: X normal alg. var'y

Def'n: X is $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial if $\forall$ Weil divisor $D \exists m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \mid mD$ is Cartier comes from a line bundle. E.g. smooth var'y is $\mathbb{Q}$ -fact'l

Def'n: Let X be CM & Gorenstein ($\leadsto$ canon line bundle K_X) X has terminal sing's if $\forall$ resol'n $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ have $K_{\tilde{X}} = p^*K_X + \sum_i n_i E_i$ (E_i divisor, $n_i > 0$)

The only fact about terminal sing's we'll be using is

Thm (Namikawa) Let X have symplectic sing's. TFAE

- $\bullet X$ has term'l sing's
- $\bullet \text{codim}_X X^{\text{sing}} \geq 4$

1.2 $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminalizations

Appr Thm (Birkar-Cassini-Hacon-McKernan) Under suitable assumptions on X ($\Leftarrow X$ has symplectic sing's) $\exists (\hat{X}, p: \hat{X} \rightarrow X)$ s.t

- $\bullet X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial w. term sing's
- $\bullet p$ is part'l resol'n (birat'l & prog're) and is crepant ($K_{\hat{X}} = p^*K_X$)

Cor: X ~~is sing~~ has symplectic sing's $\Rightarrow$ so does $\hat{X}$

Proof: ω symplectic form on X^{reg} ; $K_{\hat{X}} = p^*K_X \Rightarrow p^*\omega$ ext's to $\hat{\omega}$ on $\hat{X}$ ^{symplectic form}
 $\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} \hat{X}$ resol'n $\Rightarrow \tilde{p}^*p^*\omega$ ext's to $\tilde{X} \Rightarrow \tilde{p}^*\hat{\omega}$ ext's to $\tilde{X}$ $\square$

1.3 Cohomology vanishing: X affine w. symplectic sing's, $\hat{X}$ $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial term'n

($\Rightarrow K_{\hat{X}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}, K_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$) - because X^{reg} has symplectic form & $\text{codim}_X X \setminus X^{\text{reg}} \geq 2$

Grasert-Brenschneider type thm: $Rp_*\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}} = \mathcal{O}_X$ ($\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\hat{X}] = \mathbb{C}[X]$ & $H^i(\hat{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}) = 0$ ($i > 0$))

Cor: $H^i(\hat{X}^{\text{reg}}, \mathcal{O}) = 0, i = 1, 2$ & $\mathbb{C}[\hat{X}^{\text{reg}}] = \mathbb{C}[X]$ this just from normality

Proof: $\hat{X}$ is CM $\Leftrightarrow$ for $Y \subset \hat{X}$, $\text{codim}_Y \hat{X} = d$ have $H^i_Y(\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}) = 0$ for $i < d$.
 From this & $H^i(\hat{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}) = 0 \ \forall i \Rightarrow H^i(\hat{X} \setminus Y, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}) = 0 \ i \leq d-2$. Now use $Y = \hat{X}^{\text{sing}}$ ($d \geq 4$)

1.4) Spec case $X = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}]$: $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \subset G \times_p (X' \times \mathbb{A}^n)$, $X' = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}']$, $\mathcal{O}'$ is bir. rig.
 Thm (Namikawa - Fu, I.L) $\hat{X} = G \times_p (X' \times \mathbb{A}^n)$ is $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminalization of X .
 Namikawa: for class types, Fu for except. types - case by case; my proof is conceptual: it uses BCHM thm, classifn of deformations & claim that $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{O}']$ has no filled Poisson deformations

2.1) Poisson deform's of $\hat{X}^{\text{reg}}$; $\mathcal{H}_X := H^2(\hat{X}^{\text{reg}}, \mathbb{C})$

We want to study deformations of X . For this we need to study deformation of $\hat{X}^{\text{reg}}$ - and we will need formal deformations. The fact that $\hat{X}^{\text{reg}}$ is symplectic together with cohomology vanishing from Corollary show that the deformation theory is unobstructed i.e. as nice as possible

Y smooth symplectic variety w. form ω .

Def: B compl. local $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra; formal deform'n of $(Y, \omega) / B$ is f.l. scheme $\mathcal{Y} / \text{Spec}(B)$ w. fiberwise symplectic form $\tilde{\omega} \in H^2(\mathcal{Y} / \text{Spec}(B))$ s.t. spec'n of $(X, \tilde{\omega})$ to closed pt is (Y, ω) .

Suppose $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0, i=1,2$

Thm (Kaledin - Verbitsky) $\exists$ univ. deform'n $(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{univ}}, \tilde{\omega}_{\text{univ}})$ over $B := \mathbb{C}[[H^2(Y, \mathbb{C})]]$
 (every other deformation is obtained by pull-back)

Alternative way to think about $\mathcal{Y}$: $\mathcal{Y} \leftrightarrow$ complete (& separated) sheaf of Poisson B-algs on Y ($\varphi: Y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y} \leadsto \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ -sheaf on Y) deforming $\mathcal{O}_Y$
 sheaf theoretic pull-back

2.2) Deform'n's of X :

$H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0 \ i=1,2 \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Y}] = \Gamma(Y, \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}})$ is f.l. deform'n of $\mathbb{C}[Y] = \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$
 $Y = \hat{X}^{\text{reg}} \leadsto \mathcal{H}_Y^{\text{OA}} := \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Y}] / \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{H}_X]$ $\mathcal{H}_X$ in deg 1
 $\mathbb{C}^* \curvearrowright \hat{X}^{\text{reg}}$ w. t. $\omega = t\omega \leadsto \mathbb{C}^* \curvearrowright \mathcal{Y} \leadsto \mathbb{C}^* \curvearrowright \mathcal{H}_Y^{\text{OA}} \leadsto \mathcal{H}_Y^0 :=$ subalg. of $\mathbb{C}^* \text{-finit}$
 el-ts in $\mathcal{H}_Y^{\text{OA}}$; $A = \mathbb{C}[X]$ is pos. graded + $\mathcal{H}$ in deg 1 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{H}_Y$ -graded deform'n

of $A/\mathbb{C}[\hbar] (\hbar = \hbar_x)$

~~Now~~ Now $W_x \subset \mathcal{H}_x^0$ (w. $W_x \subset A$ trivial & $W_x \subset \hbar_x$ linear) preserving $\mathcal{L}$:
 $\rightarrow$ graded Poisson algebra $(\mathcal{H}_x^0/\mathcal{H}_x^1)^{W_x}$

Thm (Namikawa) This is univ. graded Poisson deform'n of A

$\mathcal{H}^0$ is filt. Poisson deform'n, $\mathcal{H}^0 = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_i^0 \rightarrow$ Poisson algebra $R(\mathcal{H}^0) = \bigoplus \mathcal{H}_i^0 \hbar^i$

graded Poisson deform'n so obtained by pull-back from the universal one

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^0 = \mathcal{H}_\lambda^0$ for some $\lambda \in \hbar$.

2.3) Quant'n: Can talk about deformation quant'n of Poisson schemes (sheaves of $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ -algebras)

Thm (Beilinson-Kaledin) ~~Under assumptions of Kaledin-Verbitsky Thm~~ Under assumptions of Kaledin-Verbitsky Thm

$\exists$ canon. quant'n of $(\mathcal{V}_{\text{univ}}, \tilde{\omega}_{\text{univ}})$. Then we argue as before

2.4) Case of $A = \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}]$, $\hat{X} = G^x_p(\hat{X} \times n) = \mu_L^{-1}(0)/L$, $\hat{X}_\hbar = G^x_p(\mathcal{Z}(\hbar) \times \hat{X} \times n) = \mu_L^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}(\hbar))/L$ -Poisson scheme. So $\mathcal{H}_\hbar^0 = \mathbb{C}[\hat{X}_\hbar]$, $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^0 = \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda]$, $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda$ is open in $G^x_p(\lambda \times \hat{X} \times n)$